

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,587	11/24/2003	Mark D. Ackerman	6647-051	5785		
45842 MARGER IOI	7590 01/14/2008 HNSON & MCCOLLOM	EXAMINER				
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C NOVELL 210 SW MORRISON STREET SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2168				
•						
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/14/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				n	γN
•		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/721,587	7	ACKERMAN ET	AL.
. 0	ffice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Greta L. Ro	binson	2168	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with ti	he correspondence a	ddress
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE M If time may be available under the provision: MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming for reply is specified above, the maximum soly within the set or extended period for reply served by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. tatutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICAT nt, however, may a reply the expire SIX (6) MONTHS cation to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
1)⊠ Resc	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 October 2007			
•	• •	2b) ☐ This action is no			
<i>'</i> =	e this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —	•	prosecution as to th	e merits is
•	ed in accordance with the pract	•		•	
Disposition of	Claims				
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-26,38-49 and 55-64</u> is/ai	re pending in the applic	ation.		
•	f the above claim(s) 38-49 and	· -		on.	
	n(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
7)☐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Clain	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election red	quirement.		
Application Pa	apers				
9)⊡ The s	pecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
10)⊠ The d	rawing(s) filed on 24 November	<u>er 2003</u> is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Exa	miner.
Applie	cant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Repla	cement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required	d if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The o	ath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Of	fice Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received in Appli	cation No	
3.	•	•		eived in this Nationa	l Stage
•	application from the Internation	·	• • •		
* See th	e attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certific	ed copies not rece	eived.	
Attachment(s)	Storongon Cited (BTO 900)		A) 🔲 leste entire Comme	non/ /DTO 442\	
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	 Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 		
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/721,587 Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of invention I claims 1-26 in the reply filed on October 30, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 38-49 and 55-64 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show detailed elements of flowchart elements in Figures 12A-12B, see page 10 line 30 through page 11 line 31 ["the user decides if the XML schema standard in existence", and "If the XML standard is modified to support properties .. at step 1255 can be eliminated (unless the user were to follow an older XML schema standard)"], as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary,

10/721,587 Art Unit: 2168

the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

Application/Control Number:

10/721,587 Art Unit: 2168 Page 4

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, appears to make reference to background information or prior art which should fall under the background of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number:

10/721,587 Art Unit: 2168 Page 5

- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claims are directed to an abstract idea and do not include a useful, concrete, tangible result. Note the claims *omit* an *operational function* and merely recite an arrangement of structures.

Page 6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claims 1-26 the element of a schema does not appear to be properly (or completely) described within the disclosure [see: page 10 line 30 through page 11 line 22]. The disclosure makes reference to a hyperlink with respect to standards in describing schema concepts; however omits an actual description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

10/721,587

Art Unit: 2168

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaler et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0068694 A1 in view of Bourret et al. A Generic Load/Extract Utility for Data Transfer Between XML Between Documents and Relational Databases.

Regarding claim 1, **Kaler et al**. teaches a computer, comprising: a memory [note: Figure 1; paragraphs 0038 "a system memory 122"; and 0039]; and

a data structure to store schema for a generic document, the data structure stored in the memory and including [note: paragraph 0039 "computer-readable media ... data structure ... for the computer 120"; Figure 3 note data structure 300; paragraph 0034; paragraph 0006 Schemas define how a particular item of data is structured]:

a definition of a first element, the definition of the first element including an element value field [note: paragraphs Figure 3 element 320A; paragraph 0012 XML element]; and

a key identifier to identify a key value field to be used as a key in a data store [note: paragraph paragraph 0009; paragraph 0033 "An identifier (also called herein a group identifier")";]. Although Kaler et al. teaches the invention substantially as cited above they do not explicitly disclose defining an element value field. **Bourret et al.** teaches creating customized tags and defining elements and element types [note: abstract; section 5.1 "The *Attribute* and and *ElementType* elements identify the properties being mapped" and 6.2 "build the database schema"]. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined Bourret et al. with Kaler et

al. because customization or the ability to define tags adds greater flexibility and such a

structure would enhance retrieval and storage of data.

Regarding claim 2, wherein: the definition of the first element includes the key identifier as property of the first element; and the key identifier identifies the element value field as the key value field [see: Bourret et al. Figure 1, note section 4.1 Element Types, and sections 5.1-6.2].

Regarding claim 10, wherein the data structure contains extensible markup Language (XML) schema [note: Kaler et al. paragraphs 0009 and 0059].

Regarding claim 11, a computer system, comprising: a data store to store a first generic document and to store a value for a first key value field, the value loaded from the first generic document [note: Kaler et al. paragraph 0038-0039; Figure 5A schema definition document]; and

10/721,587

Art Unit: 2168

a first schema applicable to the first generic document, the first document, the first schema including [note: Kaler et al. paragraph 0009-0010]:

a definition of a first element, the definition of the first element including an element value field; a first key identifier to identify a first key value field in the first generic document to be used as a key in a data store [note: Kaler et al. paragraph 0012]. Although Kaler et al. teaches the invention substantially as cited above they do not explicitly disclose defining an element value field. Bourret et al. teaches creating customized tags and defining elements and element types [note: abstract; section 5.1 "The *Attribute* and and *ElementType* elements identify the properties being mapped" and 6.2 "build the database schema"]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined Bourret et al. with Kaler et al. because customization or the ability to define tags adds greater flexibility and such a structure would enhance retrieval and storage of data.

Regarding claim 12, the definition of the first element includes the first key identifier as a property of the first element ... [note: Bourret et al. Figure 1, note section 4.1 Element Types, and sections 5.1-6.2].

Regarding claim 19, wherein the data store is a Lightweight Directory Access protocol (LDAP) data store [note: Bourret et al. teaches a data store as an LDAP see abstract].

10/721,587 Art Unit: 2168

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Golshani et al. US patent 5,797,137

Chong et al. US Patent 6,546,394

Kaler et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0068694 A1

Klien, Interpreting XML Documents via an RDF Schema Ontology

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/721,587

Art Unit: 2168

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (571)272-4118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim T. Vo can be reached on (571)272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner January 08, 2007